



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.         | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/031,851   | 05/28/2002  | Horst Rapp           | WELL 200021US01             | 8437                   |
| 27885  | 7590        | 05/12/2010           |                             |                        |
| FAY SHARPE LLP<br>1228 Euclid Avenue, 5th Floor<br>The Halle Building<br>Cleveland, OH 44115 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>CHONG, YONG SOO |                        |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT<br>1627            | PAPER NUMBER           |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE<br>05/12/2010     | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  |                                      |                                    |  |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| <b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/031,851 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>RAPP ET AL. |  |
|  | <b>Examiner</b><br>Yong S. Chong     | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1627            |  |

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Yong S. Chong.

(2) Mr. Karl Hauber.

**Date of Interview:** 7 May 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** 121

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 2 pm

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  
  

Claims discussed:

  
  

Prior art documents discussed:

  
  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Examiner proposed an allowance if the Applicant made some minor claim amendments to resolve some 112, second paragraph issues. Applicant agreed and authorized Examiner to make these changes in an Examiner's Amendment.*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Yong S. Chong/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1627

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)